

Complaint of Ethical and Prosecutorial Misconduct by Judicial Officers

Request for Judicial Investigation and Review

By CARL STARLING, JR.

A US CITIZEN and RESIDENT of PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY MARYLAND

SUBMITTED JANUARY 5, 2022

Delivery to:

Honorable Larry Hogan, Governor of Maryland

Honorable Brian Frosh, Maryland Attorney General

Honorable Bill Ferguson, Maryland General Assembly, Senate President

Honorable Senator Joanne C. Benson, Maryland General Assembly, District 24

Honorable Angela Alsobrooks, County Executive Prince George's County

Honorable Aisha Braveboy, State's Attorney Prince George's County

Honorable Calvin S. Hawkins, II, Chair, Prince George's County Council

Honorable Members of the Prince George's County Council

Linda H. Lamone, Esquire, Chairperson, Attorney Grievance Commission/Office of Bar Counsel

Honorable Sirs and Madams,

I write your offices on the anniversary of my injustice in both complaint and corrective action. Unfortunately, I experienced a series of injustices with the Prince George's County Judiciary system. I call on each of your respective offices and branches of government to investigate my complaint and hold accountable the officials that violated my civil rights, failed their oath of office and obstructed fair and impartial justice.

My case, though occurring 15 years ago, it is NEVER too late to correct injustice. And NEVER too late to hold public officials accountable for wrongdoing. Police Officers enjoy the protection of Qualified Immunity. Judges and court officers enjoy the protection of Prosecutorial Immunity. No employee is protected from intentional acts of wrongdoing, also known as removal for Just Cause. Defunding reforms for police must also include reforms for judiciary.

For several years I've worked to clear my name and expose legal abuses and legal misconduct in Prince George's County as well as with national campaigns involving False Allegations of Domestic Violence. I am asking for a review of my case and an audit investigation of the Prince George's County's Office of the States Attorney, along with the

immediate dismissal, termination and removal from county government for their actions described herein along with your assistance in recommending the disbaring of the named judicial officials:

Nettina Surpris, Administrative Commissioner

Raemarie Zanzucci, Assistant States Attorney

Tara A. Harrison, esq

for unethical judicial and prosecutorial misconduct, violation of official oath of office and violation of the public trust.

In Prince George's County **Circuit Court case #CJ062021**, I, Carl Starling, Jr., was acquitted of 2nd Degree Assault. On January 5, 2006, I was arrested without probable cause. That day, Helena Tyler Starling, (now ex-wife) reported she had been severely beaten experiencing domestic violence when, she actually was the aggressor and violence perpetrator. Her statement was taken by Prince George's County Commissioner Nettina Supris. Ms. Supris was exceedingly negligent by accepting Helena Tyler Starling's written statement and issuing a warrant for my arrest.

United States Constitution: Amendment IV: The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation...

Without probable cause, there is no legal basis for arrest. Without probable cause, an exigent circumstance is even less likely. As this reading continues, the reader will conclude an exigent circumstance did not exist. Commissioner Nettina Supris executed a warrant solely on Helena Tyler Starling's written statement. (*see: Illustration A, State of MD v Starling, Carl Jr, Arrest Warrant*) Only by God's graces and a clerical error by Ms. Supris, was I fortunate to be released on my own recognizance after arraignment. Note the Charging Document. My name appears as both the Complainant and the Respondent thereby erroneously charging myself. (*see: Illustration A, State of MD v Starling, Carl Jr., Arrest Warrant, Heading, page 2*)

Helena Tyler Starling, actually, had attacked me on January 5. Responding officers saw no probable cause. Neither party was injured or arrested. Later that day, I encountered Mrs. Starling at the Prince George's County Courthouse in Upper Marlboro as I sought a Protective Order against her. Orders were cross-filed and not ex-parte. My criminal charges against Mrs. Starling were also filed that day as well, however, she was not arrested and no warrant was issued. Incredibly, 10 hours after "allegedly" being brutally beaten, Mrs. Starling escorted police into my home, identified me to police and giggled as I was arrested. According to her trial testimony, Helena Tyler Starling was ordered by the Prosecutors Office to undergo a physical examination which was performed the next day, January 6, 2006.

A few months later, Helena Tyler Starling's new counsel, Tara Harrison, motioned for a Consolidation Hearing. At this June 13, 2006 hearing, Prosecutor Raemarie Zanzucci had to have knowingly presented fictitious, fraudulent and unauthentic manufactured evidence consisting of a homemade photo depicting alleged superficial bruising to Helen Tyler Starling's shoulder. This alleged evidence was never authenticated, or used at trial, nor could it ever have been since both police and medical professional expert witnesses did not produce evidentiary probable cause on January 5th or 6th. Typically in evidence preservation, standard police and medical procedures are to take pictures in domestic and assault cases. On January 5, police saw no probable cause. On January 6, medical professionals found no probable cause when Helena Tyler Starling was physically examined. No certifiable evidence consistent with a severe beating, injury or bruising of any kind was **ever** produced, yet, somehow, and inexplicably, alleged photo evidence appears and is introduced, some five months later. To summarize, after 48 hours of incident, the window of evidentiary probable cause had closed. Helena Tyler Starling's photo evidence had to be fake after she appeared before multiple court officials, trained police officers and medical professionals. None of these trained experts SAW, FOUND or reported seeing ANY PROBABLE CAUSE consistent with her allegations. Yet, Tara Harrison and Raemarie Zanzucci did find, produce and introduce alleged conflicting evidence on June 13, 2006, some five months later.

Tara A. Harrison, esq. also had to have knowingly presented fictitious, fraudulent and unauthentic manufactured alleged evidence to Ms. Zanzucci. The result was an obstruction of justice and prejudicial co-conspiracy that favored

Helena Tyler Starling. This unethical assistance allowed Ms. Zanzucci to drop charges I filed against Helena Tyler Starling, enter a Nolle Prosequi, while upholding the States charges against me. (*see: Illustration B, State of MD v Starling, Helena Tyler, Notice of Nolle Prosequi, case #4E00260180*) Had Ms. Zanzucci not accepted or introduced fictitious, fraudulent and unauthentic manufactured evidence, I would not have had to seek a jury trial to further prove my innocence. (*see: Illustration C, State of MD v Starling, Carl Jr., Defendant Trial Summary, case #0E00260183* *Illustration D, State of MD v Starling, Carl Jr., Circuit Court Trial Date Notice, case #CJ062021*)

To challenge normal reasoning, note Helena Tyler Starling's Charging Document. She alleged a brutal, savagely violent and severe beat-down, yet the very last sentence is exculpatory evidence as she heroically summons the energy and strength to have gone to speak with clergy members at her church, then went to file a Protective Order, ALL BEFORE seeking any medical advisement. (*see: Illustration A, State of MD v Starling, Carl Jr., page 4*) This reminds me of Jussie Smollett.

On November 14, 2006 in a 2 day jury trial, I was acquitted of the charges. Ms. Zanzucci thought she could produce a conviction by tormenting me and my family a 2nd day listening to Mrs. Starling's 911 audio. Jury members giggled and laughed openly when Helena Tyler Starling's testimony fell apart time after time. She cried dry tears claiming to have been "slammed into wall...and head banged into the floor 8 or 9 times" with no injury or evidence of any kind. Hearing Mrs. Starling's 911 audio was futile. One can only speculate Ms. Zanzucci has prosecuted other cases in like manner. In such event, several proceedings have possibly been compromised, improperly or unfairly adjudicated and persons may have been unfairly prosecuted by Ms. Zanzucci and or improperly represented by Ms. Harrison.

Michael Nifong, the Duke University Rape Trial prosecutor, committed similar deceitful legal debauchery by withholding evidence proving the innocence of the accused Lacrosse players. He was disbarred and jailed. Though I legally prevailed, REAL JUSTICE has not been secured. Helena Tyler Starling successfully weaponized the county police and court officials through false allegations without ANY consequence. True justice cannot be achieved when public trust officials act in prejudice, gender bias, dishonesty or unethical and illegal behavior that violate the MD Attorney Rules of Professional Conduct:

Rule 19-308.4 (c) engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation.

(d) engage in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice.

Reading this next paragraph, ask how many violations apply to the account you have just read:

Zealous Representation: A lawyer cannot use perjured testimony or false evidence. A lawyer cannot knowingly assert false statements of law or fact. A lawyer cannot preserve or create evidence which the lawyer knows is false. A lawyer shall not assist a client in conduct the lawyer knows to be illegal or fraudulent...

Law enforcement nor the judiciary can afford such legal malfeasance and must be held to a higher standard. Only the public suffers in such cases of legal abuse and unethical behavior. I urge your support in this endeavor to remove these and other bad actors that condone legal misconduct and impropriety from Prince George's County judiciary.

According to SAVE, Stop Abusive and Violent Environments reprinted CEDV and CDC data, Black males comprise 1.47 million of abuse victims over 1.38 Black female victims. However, **Black males are four times likely to be arrested for domestic abuse compared to Black females.** The disparity is linked to a rise in female-initiated violence and aggression, mandatory male arrest laws, bias in predominant aggressor policies and faulty training programs.

Though undiagnosed, I believe my former spouse exhibited Cluster B mental disorder traits of NPD or Narcissistic Personality Disorder and a female serial abuser. Acquainted 15 years before marriage. She once told me she unsuccessfully charged a boyfriend with Stalking in her hometown Mobile, Alabama. I was not permitted to use this fact in court and requests for psychological reviews went unanswered. I filed for divorce from Helena Tyler Starling to escape her daily rants of cheating, explosive anger, fits of rage, jealousy and financial abuse. She grew up in an

abusive fatherless home. I did not. Physical spousal violence was foreign to me and the absolute the last straw. Throughout life she has claimed to be a victim of Domestic Violence or sex assault, a common NPD trait. But factually nothing can be further from the truth.

Each time I sought help from Prince George's County Police, Sheriff's Office and Family Court her abusive behavior was **rewarded**. I was ordered to pay her court costs, her attorneys fees, \$1000.00 a month spousal maintenance Protective Order for her to leave the home, then, in filing divorce, Family Court ordered me to pay an additional \$2,000.00 a month alimony leaving me barely able to pay my own monthly expenses. **The Grand Finale**: my female divorce attorney team failed to request ANY restitution or repayment resulting from my acquittal since Helena Tyler Starling had clearly manipulated the court and police power to abuse her spouse by making false criminal charges. My divorce settlement was 4.5 times these payments. I had A+ credit and literally a millionaire at 42 never having trouble with the law, was now broke and forced into bankruptcy. After 15 years, I still have financial struggles.

In conclusion, there is a saying, "Evil prevails when good people do nothing." Strike the word "Evil." Insert "Injustice." Now, that you have read my story of false allegations and hostile wealth transfer, action should be taken against persons responsible. Their fate however, is now yours to decide. Doing nothing insures something like this **will happen again**. There is some justice in publicly exposing injustice as scripture says, "The truth shall make ye free !"

Each elected official listed above now has the responsibility of corrective action and crafting legislation that penalizes this kind of legal, physical and psychological abuse of men. Female initiated violence and false sexual/physical allegations happen all the time, particularly when VAWA, the Violence Against Women Act federal grant monies encourage women to do so. VAWA funding promotes separation of children from fathers, male only arrests, female only shelter funding, gender biased Batterer Intervention Programs, (BIPs) that portray men exclusively as violent offenders and women as stereotypically defenseless, helpless victims abused by men. There is NO PENALTY for fraud, false allegation or legal abuse. Hopefully, as elected officials and legal professionals you realize through this writing the seriousness and impact false allegations have had on me and has on other men in Maryland and Prince George's County who will not speak out and suffer in silence. Now, you cannot say there is no such thing as false allegations or they do not exist or that the legal system operates without flaw just like on television.

Cc: Sydney J. Harrison, Vice Chair District 9
Mel Franklin, At-Large
Thomas E. Dernoga, District 1
Deni Taveras, District 2
Danniel M. Glaros, District 3
Todd M. Turner, District 4
Jolene Ivey, District 5
Derrick Leon Davis, District 6
Rodney C. Streeter, District 7

Attachments:

Illustration A, State of MD v Starling, Carl Jr, Arrest Warrant, case #0E00260183

Illustration B State of MD v Starling, Helena Tyler, Notice of Nolle Prosequi, case #4E00260180

Illustration C, State of MD v Starling, Carl Jr., Defendant Trial Summary, case #0E00260183

Illustration D State of MD v Starling, Carl Jr., Notice of Jury Trial, case #0E00260183

Excerpt - Coalition to End Domestic Violence Special Report: Thirty Years of Domestic Violence Half Truths, Falsehoods and Lies



ILLUSTRATION A



DISTRICT COURT OF MARYLAND FOR Prince George's County

Located at Courthouse, Bourne Wing, Upper Marlboro, Maryland 20772

Case No.: 000E00260183

STATE OF MARYLAND

VS

STARLING, CARL JR.

3503 SAINT JOHN'S PL

SPRINGDALE, MD 00000-0000

CC#:

SID:

Local ID:

DL#:

Race: 1 Sex: F Ht: 6'1" Wt: 180

Hair: BRN Eyes: BRN

DOB: 06/24/1962 Phone(H):

Phone(W):

Charge | Statute | Arrest
ASSAULT-SEC DEGREE | CR3203 |

Charge | Statute | Arrest

- COURT ORIGINAL
- STATE ATTY COPY
- DEFENDANT COPY
- FOLDER COPY
- COMPLAINANT COPY

ARREST WARRANT ON CHARGING DOCUMENT - Warrant No. D051033032

STATE OF MARYLAND, Prince George's County
TO ANY PEACE OFFICER, Greetings:



YOU ARE ORDERED to arrest and bring before a judicial officer the above-named Defendant as soon as practicable and without unnecessary delay. If a judicial officer is not readily available, this Warrant shall authorize the prisoner's detention until compliance is had with Rule 4-212 and the arresting officer is authorized and required to comply with Rule 4-212.

IF THE DEFENDANT IS NOT IN CUSTODY FOR ANOTHER OFFENSE,

Initial appearance is to be held in county in which Defendant is arrested.

IF THE DEFENDANT IS IN CUSTODY FOR ANOTHER OFFENSE, this Warrant is to be lodged as a

detainer for the continued detention of the Defendant for the offense charged in the charging document.

When the Defendant is served with a copy of the charging document and Warrant, the Defendant shall be taken before a judicial officer of the District Court.

Date: 01/05/2006 Time: 10:29 PM

Judge/Commissioner: *N. Dupuis*

ID: 5118

Given to: PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT

RETURN OF SERVICE

I certify that at _____ M on _____ at _____

_____, I executed this Arrest Warrant by arresting the Defendant and delivered a copy of the Statement of Charges to the Defendant.

I left a copy of the Warrant and Charging Document as a detainer for the continued detention of the Defendant at:

Facility: _____

Location: _____

Signature & Title of Peace Officer: _____

Printed Name of Officer: _____

Agency, Sub-Agency, I.D.: _____

Date: _____

Tracking No. 051002057293

ARREST WARRANT ON CHARGING DOCUMENT

DV



ILLUSTRATION A



DISTRICT COURT OF MARYLAND FOR Prince George's County
Located at Courthouse, Bourne Wing, Upper Marlboro, Maryland 20772 **Case No.:000E00260183**

STATE OF MARYLAND

VS

STARLING, CARL JR.

COMPLAINANT:
STARLING, CARL
3503 SAINT JOHN'S PL
SPRINGDALE, MD 20774

3503 SAINT JOHN'S PL
SPRINGDALE, MD 00000000

CC#: SID:
Local ID: DL#: DL State:
Race: 1 Sex: F Height: 6'1" Weight: 180 Hair: BRN Eyes: BRN
DOB: 06/24/1962 Phone(H): Phone(W):

STATEMENT OF CHARGES

UPON THE FACTS CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION OF STARLING, CARL
IT IS FORMALLY CHARGED THAT STARLING, CARL JR. at
the dates, times and locations specified below:

NUM	CHG/CIT	STATUTE	PENALTY	DESCRIPTION OF THE CHARGE
001	1 1415	CR 3 203	10 Y &/or \$2,500.00	ASSAULT-SEC DEGREE On or About 01/05/2006 3503 SAINT JOHN'S PL. SPRINGDALE PRINCE GEORGE'S CO. MARYLAND ...did assault CARL STARLING JR. in the second degree in violation of CR 3-203, contrary to the form of the act of the assembly in such case made and provided and against the peace, government, and dignity of the state. Against the Peace, Government, and Dignity of the State.

COURT ORIGINAL
 STATE ATTY COPY
 DEFENDANT COPY
 FOLDER COPY
 COMPLAINANT COPY

Date: 01/05/2006 Time: 10:26 PM

Judicial Officer: N. Surpin 5118

Tracking No. 051002057293

DV



LOCATED AT (COURT ADDRESS)

RELATED CASES:

DISTRICT COURT CASE NUMBER

0000260183

COMPLAINANT

DEFENDANT

HELENA TYLER Starling
3503 SAINT JOHN'S PLACE
Springdale, MD 20774
240-676-3585

CARL STARLING JR.
3503 SAINT JOHN'S PLACE
Springdale, MD
Telephone

Agency, Sub-Agency, and I.D. # (Officer Only)

CC#

DEFENDANT'S DESCRIPTION: Driver's License # Sex M Race B Ht 6'1 Wt 180-200

Hair BRO Eyes BRO Complexion MED/DARK Other WEARS GLASSES D.O.B 6-24-62 ID MUSTACHE SHAVEN FACE

APPLICATION FOR STATEMENT OF CHARGES

Page 1 of 2

I, the undersigned, apply for a statement of charges and a summons or warrant which may lead to the arrest of the above named Defendant because on or about 1-5-06/9AM at 3503 SAINT JOHN'S PLACE, Springdale, MD 20774 - Prince George's, the above named Defendant CARL STARLING JR.

(Concise statement of facts showing that there is probable cause to believe that a crime has been committed and that the Defendant has committed it)

My husband stayed off work today and entered my bedroom after HE FOLLOWED ME TO THE BATHROOM THIS MORNING WHILE I WAS SHOWERING AND DRESSING. HE TOUCHED MY BODY INAPPROPRIATELY (I FELT HIM PRESSING FROM BEHIND). HE TURNED ME AROUND TO FACE HIM AND GRABBED MY HAND I PULLED BACK AND HE PULLED ME TOWARDS HIM WHILE ASKING FOR SEX.

I solemnly affirm under the penalties of perjury that the contents of this Application are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Date

Officer's Signature

I have read or had read to me and I understand the Notice on the back of this form.

1-5-06

Helena Tyler Starling

Date

Applicant's Signature

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3rd day of January

Time: 10:17 PM Judge/Commissioner N Seppin

I.D. 5115

I understand that a charging document has been issued and that I must appear for trial on at when notified by the Clerk, at the Court location shown at the top of this form.

Helena Tyler Starling

Applicant's Signature

I declined to issue a charging document because of lack of probable cause.

Date

Commissioner

I.D.

Witnesses' Names and Addresses:

Name Number and Street/Agency/Sub-agency/I.D. City, State, Zip

TRACKING NUMBER

DISTRICT COURT OF MARYLAND FOR



LOCATED AT (COURT ADDRESS)

DISTRICT COURT
CASE NUMBER

0500260183

DEFENDANT'S NAME (LAST, FIRST, M.I.)

Continued... APPLICATION FOR STATEMENT OF CHARGES (CONTINUED) Page 1 of 2

I pulled back and he pulled me towards him. I pushed him away from me within a very closed area of the bathroom. He paused, came towards me saying "NO ONE HAS EVER HIT ME BUT MY MOTHER" He then began choking me with both hands, removed one of his hands and slapped my right cheek, then put both hands around my neck again, lifted me and slammed me into the bathroom wall, pushed me (backwards towards the tub), lifted me and slammed me into the bathroom (window/blinds), pulled me out of the bathroom tub, against the door and out of the bathroom ^(into the hallway). He WAS CHOKING me the entire time before slinging me to the floor and slamming my head against the floor repeatedly. He then stood over me in the hallway as I remained on the floor. About ^{5 to} 10 minutes later I ^{sat up, crawled into my room} found my cell phone and called 911 as I locked myself into the bathroom, ~~knocking~~ ^{knocking} up when he started talking, & 911 called back. I ran water into the tub while speaking to the 911 operator to muffle the conversation. The dispatcher remained on the line with me until officer's came into the house. I went into my bedroom and attempted to finish dressing when the officer knocked. I went into the living room with police. I took clothes & belonging while officers waited. I went to my ^{church} counselor & then District Court for a protective order.

- 5 - 06
Date

Aleeva Tyler - Starling
Applicant's Signature

TRACKING NUMBER

ILLUSTRATION B

DISTRICT COURT OF MARYLAND FOR PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY
COURTHOUSE, 14735 MAIN ST (C) 0502
UPPER MARLBORO MD 20772-3042



CASE NUMBER: 4E00260180

TO: STARLING, CARL JR
3503 SAINT JOHN'S PLACE
UPPER MARLBORO MD 20774

STATE OF MARYLAND VS. STARLING, HELENA TYLER

NOTICE

IN ACCORDANCE WITH MARYLAND RULE 4-247, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ON JUNE 13, 2006, THE STATE'S ATTORNEY ENTERED A NOLLE PROSEQUI IN THE ABOVE CASE. THAT IS, THE STATE'S ATTORNEY HAS DETERMINED THAT THE CASE WILL NOT BE PROSECUTED.

BY: MARY JONES ABRAMS
(CLERK)

DATE: 06/13/06

FOR QUESTIONS CONCERNING THIS DOCUMENT TELEPHONE (301) 952-4080.

HEARING/SPEECH IMPAIRED CALLERS ONLY, TELEPHONE TTY/TT 1-800-925-9690 OR
(301) 952-4080 (VOICE) THRU MARYLAND RELAY SERVICE AT 1-800-735-2258.

1100016170

0001617A

N

TRACKING NUMBER:05-1002-05725-6

ILLUSTRATION D

In the Circuit Court for Prince George's County, Maryland

State of Maryland

: CJ062021

Vs.

Carl Starling Jr

The Sheriff of ^{Springdale}~~Prince George's County~~ is hereby commanded to subpoena:

Carl Starling, Jr ID NO: @176716
3503 Saint John's Pl
Springdale, MD 20774

You are also commanded then and there to bring with you the following documents or things:

To the above listed witness: You are hereby commanded to appear before the Circuit Court for Prince George's County, Court House, Upper Marlboro, Maryland to testify for the State of Maryland and to remain in attendance from day to day until excused. Failure to attend and remain in attendance or failure to bring any documents or exhibits as may be directed in the subpoena may result in a Writ of Attachment for your arrest. This case is scheduled for the following:

TRIAL

NOVEMBER 13, 2006

On that date please report to your case coordinator, in the State's Attorney's Office, no later than 08:30 AM. Please dial the case coordinator's number the evening before your scheduled court appearance to hear a recorded listing of cases which may be postponed. If you need further information contact your case coordinator:

Connie Loureiro
Courthouse, Suite M3404

301-952-2864

Para Hablar en Espanol
William Stagg

301-952-4627

Witness: The Administrative Judge/Designee of the Circuit Court. Issued September 22, 2006. Returnable for trial on NOVEMBER 13, 2006 at 08:30 AM.

Sheriff's Return: Served/Non-Est
This _____ day of _____, 20____.

Rosalyn E. Pugh
Rosalyn E. Pugh, Clerk #33

By: _____, #_____
Deputy Sheriff

Raemarie Zanzucchi
Assistant State's Attorney

*** Please bring this form with you ***

calls in 19 departments, small increases in three departments, and modest decreases in 11 jurisdictions.⁵⁵

4. In 67 large cities across the country, the Major Cities Chiefs Association reported that during the first 9 months of 2020, the number of rapes dropped from 32,234 to 27,273, compared to 2019. This change represents a 15% decrease.⁵⁶

Harm to African-American Men

It is difficult to overstate the harmful effects of this sustained misinformation campaign on the workings of our criminal system. “Police, prosecutors, judges, social workers, psychologists, parenting evaluators, counselors, et al, have been indoctrinated...that men commit 95 per cent of all domestic violence, [and] are more likely to abuse their children,” according to one Washington State attorney.⁵⁷

In addition, male victims often are unable to get help, as reflected in these disparities in the provision of victim services:⁵⁸

- Legal Assistance: 6% male, 94% female (Page 162)
- Rural Assistance: 10% male, 90% female (Page 174)
- Sexual Assault Services: 4% male, 96% female (Page 184)
- Transitional Housing: 1% male, 99% female (Page 204)
- Indian Tribal Governments: 5% male, 95% female (Page 222)
- Tribal Sexual Assault: 14% male, 86% female (Page 237)
- Services to Underserved Populations: 14% male, 86% female (Page 248)

The gender profiling that arises from one-sided and false claims has been especially harmful to Black men. Each year, Black men are more likely than Black women to be victims of domestic violence, sexual violence, or stalking committed by a partner.⁵⁹

- Black men: 1.47 million victims
- Black women: 1.38 million victims

But even though Black men are more likely to be victims of partner abuse, inexplicably, Black men are also more likely to be arrested for domestic violence.⁶⁰ The “criminalization of social problems has led to mass incarceration of men, especially young men of color,” reveals the Ms. Foundation for Women.⁶¹ According to a recent report to Congress, domestic violence mandatory arrest policies may “produce disproportionate arrest rates among marginalized populations, including people of color, and particularly African American men.”⁶²

These palpable injustices have created collateral consequences in terms of employability, family stability, and the willingness of minority victims to seek police assistance.⁶³